Research in Sustainability



collection **B**USINESS **S**CIENCE **I**NSTITUTE

Copyright Editions EMS

Edited by Françoise Chevalier and Michel Kalika

Research in Sustainability

Copyright Editions EMS

BUSINESS SCIENCE INSTITUTE collection directed by Pr Paul BEAULIEU, ESG UQAM Pr Michel KALIKA, Business Science Institute and iaelyon School of Management Pr émérite Alain-Charles MARTINET, iaelyon School of Management

All rights reserved. The French intellectual property code of 1st July 1992 expressly forbids the photocopying of materials for collective use without written permission from the author, the publisher or the CFC copyright centre.

© Editions EMS, 2023

We therefore remind you that it is forbidden to copy all or part of the present book in any from whatsoever without express permission from the author, the editor or the French copyright centre (CFC) at 20 rue des Grands-Augustins, 75006 Paris (Intellectual Property code, articles L. 122-4, L. 122-5 and L. 335-2).

ISSN : 2429-1374 ISBN : 978-2-37687-887-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword11 <i>Michel Kalika</i>
Acknowledgements13 Françoise Chevalier and Michel Kalika
Introduction
Contributions
Part I. Concepts & Sustainability31
Chapter 1. Initiating new strategic practices for responsible investment and the actualization of sustainable development
Chapter 2. Behind the multiplication of concepts related to Sustainability, changes in societal priorities
Chapter 3. Disparate metrics of sustainable development67 <i>Yvon Pesqueux</i>
Research in Sustainability

Chapter 4.

Sustainability and ethics: creators of meaning and good
managerial practices?87
Emmanuel Caillaud

Chapter 5.

Chapter 6.

Sustainability in corporate learning: how a learning
organization learns to learn new skills or the art of teaching
old dogs new tricks 117
Barbara Ofstad and Anne Bartel-Radic

Chapter 7.

Open educational resources: a mechanism for access to
lifelong learning for all in response to sustainable development
goal
Christophe Fournier and Mona Laroussi

Chapter 8.

Wrestling with the "sustainability" conundrum:	
considering ways forward for the business school curricula -	-
the DBA as a solution?	151
Peter Stokes and Simon Smith	

Chapter 9.

Γhe influence of sustainable development on the strategies o	of
eal estate agents1	65
Sébastien Bourbon	

Chapter 10.

Financial strategies of banks: are sustainability and financia	I
performance inseparable?	183
Elisabeth Paulet and Hareesh Mavoori	

Chapter 11. Sustainable development in air transport203 Diaga Basse
Chapter 12. Implementing CSR in the aviation industry221 <i>Mohamed Ben Helal</i>
Chapter 13. Communicating CSR commitment: a challenge for luxury brands233 <i>Francesca Goi</i>
Chapter 14. Reflections on the case of heating and cooling networks and energy transition
Part III. Functions & Sustainability263
Chapter 15. Digital sustainability: an overview of empirical research in
information systems265 Chantal Fuhrer and Florence Laval
-
Chantal Fuhrer and Florence Laval Chapter 16. Business and societal requirements for an information system network for social sustainability

Chapter 19.

Integrating sustainable development and CRS towards a	
sustainable supply chain3	333
Céline Cheval-Calvel and Olivier Lavastre	

Chapter 20.

Can an unsustainable supply chain cause devastating	effects
for the company?	349
Abderrahmane Bellali	

Chapter 21.

Sustainable development and social acceptability:	
what factors should be taken into account to promote	
sustainable projects?3	365
Marie-Douce Primeau, Cynthia Champagne Caron	
and Alejandro Romero-Torres	

Part IV.

Sustainability internationally38

Chapter 22.

Chapter 23.

Sustainable development in Morocco:	
efforts and challenges	
Khadija Al Arkoubi and Fadoua Tahari	

Chapter 24.

Sustainability in Africa: the state of play	. 415
Al-Hamndou Dorsouma	

Chapter 25.

Sustainable development and West African countries:	
case of Niger4	31
Hassoumi Assoumana	

Chapter 26.

Sustainability in crisis situations in Africa	451
Al-Hamndou Dorsouma	

Chapter 27.

Just sustainability in african countries: new oil and gas producers4	61
Ndèye Aïssatou Sy	
Chapter 28.	
Sustainable development in Africa: exploring research	
pathways in a context of post-pandemic crisis4	77
Emmanuel Kamdem	
Conclusion4	93
Michel Kalika	
3iographies4	95

Copyright Editions EMS

FOREWORD

Under the initiative of Professor Françoise Chevalier, this book has several objectives:

- First of all, to develop research grounded in the reality of management practice on matters related to Sustainability within the framework of Business Science Institute's Executive DBA programme. This objective comes from a shared awareness of the importance of modern-day challenges and the need to involve researchers and managers in providing managerial recommendations based on conceptual analyses.
- Furthermore, as Business Science Institute is an international academic network organization, or an "extended academic enterprise", it is essential to continually seek to strengthen the links within the network through projects that mobilize its members, and which are considered important by all. This book draws on the research of professors and researchers, but also on the research of *Doctors* who continue to work with the Business Science Institute after graduation as manager-researchers, and also of our doctoral candidates who are in the midst of their DBA learning journey.

Michel Kalika President, Business Science Institute Copyright Editions EMS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The coordinators of the book would like to thank their colleagues, researchers, doctoral students and DBA graduates at Business Science Institute for having participated in this adventure. Together, we have reflected on research avenues that we believe are necessary to explore today, especially within the framework of a Doctor of Business Administration programme, where the world of practice and academia come together in a unique and inspirational way. We would also like to thank the support team at Business Science Institute, in particular Caroline, who carried out the demanding job of coordinating and supporting the project, Aline, who scrupulously checked the references in each chapter and Stephen who checked the English version of the book.

Françoise Chevalier and Michel Kalika

Research in Sustainability

Copyright Editions EMS

INTRODUCTION

Françoise Chevalier

CSR,^{1,} SDG,² ESG,³ NZE⁴... so many acronyms, so many challenges facing businesses, organizations, researchers, managers and decision-makers. The work of the Stockholm Resilience Centre highlights the planetary boundaries or ecological thresholds that humanity must not exceed if we are to survive (Rockström *et al.*, 2009). Similarly, the latest report by the IPCC⁵ is alarming: climate change is no longer presented as a hypothetical threat but as a certainty. While decreasing CO₂ emissions has become imperative, it is just as crucial to take biodiversity and corporate social responsibility into account. The impacts of the crisis have made sustainability more urgent (Kalika, 2021); there is a need to build other lifestyles and modes of production and consumption that are more respectful of humans and the natural environment.

Sustainability and its triad of "People, Planet, Profit" are at the heart of current issues related to social and corporate transformation. In this regard, regulatory activities, which are constantly expanding and changing, bear witness to the ever-growing number of businesses that are concerned with sustainability

^{1.} CSR: Corporate Social Responsibility.

^{2.} SDG: Sustainable Development Goal.

ESG: Environmental, Social and Governance; these constitute the three pillars of extra-financial analysis.

^{4.} NZE: Net Zero Emissions.

On 20 March 20, 2023, the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) presented a summary of its latest reports.

requirements, but also to the expansion of these requirements. The same is true of the integration of environmental concerns into companies' extra-financial overviews.

THE PROJECT OF THE CENTURY?

From the initial formulation of the concept by Clark and Munn (1986) to the Brundtland Report (World Commission on Environment and Development, 1987), and incorporating the analysis of common goods (Ostrom, 2009), sustainability has become an issue that is acknowledged by both academics and practitioners. More than a quarter of a century after the work of Starik and Rands (1995) and Shrivastava and Hart (1995), social, societal and environmental dimensions have come to the fore.⁶ Decision-makers, politicians, researchers, entrepreneurs, investors, consumers and citizens are entering the field.⁷ A new concept has appeared: eco-anxiety. Is sustainability about to become the project of the century?

Sustainability oscillates between dystopia – especially the deterioration of the natural environment and biodiversity⁸ – and utopia (Chevalier, 2008), the aspiration for a better world: the world of the 17 SDGs, adopted by the member nations of the United Nations in 2015.⁹ In their universalist intent, are the SDGs not akin to a new version of the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*? Moreover, the succession of world crises – economic, health-related, climatic – is taxing established models. Could it be paving the way for a transformation of society or even a new socioeconomic paradigm (Aagaard *et al.*, 2021)? According to Levillain

It should be recalled that, in the first half of the 19th century, the fathers of utopian socialism, such as Henri de Saint-Simon, Charles Fourier and Robert Owen, were already promoting a quest for harmony between business activities and society's needs.

The research literature on sustainability is very abundant. More than 4 million articles on the SDGs were published between 2015 and 2019 (Elsevier & RELX Group, 2020).

^{8.} This was highlighted for the general public in the film Dark Waters, for example.

^{9.} The 17 SDGs are as follows: (1) no poverty; (2) zero hunger; (3) good health and well-being; (4) quality education; (5) gender equality; (6) clean water and sanitation; (7) affordable and clean energy; (8) decent work and economic growth; (9) industry, innovation and infrastructure; (10) reduced inequalities; (11) sustainable cities and communities; (12) responsible consumption and production; (13) climate action; (14) life below water; (15) life on land; (16) peace, justice and strong institutions; and (17) partnerships for the goals.

et al. (2020), the Covid-19 pandemic and the ecological transition are driving us toward a new sustainable development cycle. In this regard, does the EU taxonomy for sustainable activities anticipate a new model that could provide a new international benchmark? Or could other models overtake it?

QUESTIONS OF SEMANTICS

Sustainability is a wide-ranging concept that seeks to build a global consensus on the major principles. According to Jenkins (2009) sustainability is defined as having an ultimate goal of maintaining indefinitely the viability of our economies, the societies in which they exist and the physical environment on which they all depend. It brings together nations, local communities, businesses, NGOs and citizens: all of them have a role to play.

Sustainability is an ambiguous, catch-all concept, subject to dispute concerning its definition, its scope and how it can be achieved. Thus, the 17 SDGs cover a wide range of questions related to social, societal, environmental and economic development. Their scope is extremely broad: from infrastructure to climate, incorporating water and power use, economics, ethics and social justice. Both environmental management and corporate social responsibility are targets.

From a media perspective, sustainability is a topic of conversation, and marketing formulas for "green" services and products abound. From sock manufacturers to offers of zero-carbon travel, is there anyone today who does not claim to be "planet-friendly"? It is important to be aware of "greenwashing" or "social-washing": a dynamic of virtue signaling, activist-sounding discourse and, more broadly, managerial fashion (Chevalier, 2021).

In short, sustainability is not a homogeneous reality. All the authors in this book are as one in highlighting the concept's polysemous and ambiguous nature.

CONTEXTUALIZING SUSTAINABILITY

People have become aware of the urgency of the situation. But has this happened in the same way for everyone? How is their soul searching situated in time? In space? What are the priorities? Who is involved? Awareness and urgency are perceived to differing degrees. On the one hand, the concept of sustainability is polysemous; on the other, it is subject to different forms of interpretation and appropriation on the ground.

The understanding of sustainability varies greatly depending on the country, the industry, the business and the players. Depending on a country's development level, history and culture, the approaches to and priorities for sustainability vary. The same is true of different industries, companies and organizations, where questions arise in different ways. As for individual people, Markman and Krause (2016) point out that there is a difference in how sustainability is interpreted for scientists and managers... For some sustainability means preserving the environment and meeting social needs, while for others it means ethical issues and compliance with the law. Sustainability needs to be contextualized and demands nuanced analyses. It must be put in sociological perspective.

Sustainability requires local deployment of measures that take the specific features of the territory into consideration. Such efforts at contextualization underlie the editorial agenda of this entire book.

DEALING WITH CONTROVERSIES AND PARADOXES

In practice, numerous efforts to change have been implemented in the name of sustainability. It is not surprising that most of these practices have been questioned or criticized (Banerjee, 2009), as they are often characterized by controversy or paradox (Chevalier, 2013).

To name just one controversy, consider the ESG criteria. Investors are frantically demanding ESG data, but ESGC scores for a single enterprise can differ radically from one rating agency to another (Leboucher, 2023). As for paradoxes, let us take the example of SDG 13 "climate action," which refers specifically to decarbonization, and thus the reduction of greenhouse gases. In this field, for instance, although the development of digital technology has contributed to a reduction in CO_2 -emitting travel, it is paradoxically accompanied by substantial CO_2 emissions of its own. Another case involves biodiesel, which is meant to reduce CO_2 emissions into the atmosphere; however, when it is made with palm oil, it contributes to extensive palm tree plantations and, consequently, to deforestation. One can come up with similar paradoxes for each SDG. For example, in agriculture, limiting the use of pesticides to preserve biodiversity leads to more intensive use of tractors and thus to a larger carbon footprint, as well as to soil compaction, which endangers micro-organisms.

Controversies and paradoxes exist, but corporations and even governments can also twist the SDGs. The quest for grants or access to green financing sometimes wins over the true spirit of sustainability. Sustainability is also enmeshed in powerful geopolitical, economic and commercial interests.¹⁰

Organizational and geopolitical dynamics work together, making management action complex and multifactorial. They presuppose the reconsideration of certain issues and a capacity for critical distance, as this book will show. 19

BUILDING THE FUTURE

The new sustainability requirements apply at the macro level, to nations and governments; at the meso level, to businesses and organizations; and the micro level, to groups and individuals. Reconciling corporate social responsibility, environmental protection and economic issues both gives rises to contradictions and is a vector of numerous innovations.

Sustainability appears to be one of the key engines of change – what one might call polyphonic change (Pichault *et al.*, 2022). In corporations, it gives rise to new business models (Aagaard

^{10.} Consider the tension that now exists between the "European Green Deal Industrial Plan "and the "U.S. Inflation Reduction Act".

et al., 2021; Bocken, 2021; Bocken *et al.*, 2014; Evans *et al.*, 2017; Ioannou & Hawn, 2019; Pieroni *et al.*, 2019), and to a proliferation of innovations – incremental, frugal and disruptive – that create value (Gennari, 2022) and renewed leadership (Almandoz & Lee, 2022; Osagie & Wesselink, 2020). Many businesses incorporate sustainability into their strategy and its operational deployment in their management processes, particularly human resources management (Stahl *et al.*, 2022), efforts are developed to clarify language, determine priorities, and identify degrees of maturity (Baumgartner & Ebner, 2010) and the corresponding TRLs¹¹ in order to better steer transitions. Sustainability also goes hand in hand with the development of new accounting systems and new kinds of extra-financial reporting (Jain & Tripathi, 2022).

Ultimately, it involves encouraging management to find balance and innovative paths between virtuous principles and the inevitable contradictions of real life.¹² Each of the chapters in this book therefore leads to theoretical and managerial recommendations.

DEVELOPING HIGH-IMPACT RESEARCH

Research and publications participate in collective dynamics in which research is not separated from action (Alvesson & Gabriel, 2013). This entails concern for the impact of research's impacts on management practice (Kalika, 2018). Developing research on sustainability means seeking to escape from mantras, greenwashing and insubstantial theories in order to analyze concrete practices at work, and make an effort to understand them better in order to provide keys to understanding and guidelines for renewed action (Wittmayer & Schäpke, 2014).

In this context, the publication of a book, a chapter or an article is not the ultimate goal. On the contrary, it is the start of a process intended to regenerate managers' thinking and practices. As Howard-Grenville (2021) put it, the publication of an article is

^{11.} TRL: Technology Readiness Level.

^{12.} In this regard, see the *Exponential Roadmap 2030: Scaling 36 Solutions to Halve Emissions by 2030* (Exponential Roadmap Initiative, 2020).

not the final outcome; instead, it is the beginning of the generative process.

Building the future beyond doubt,¹³ constantly searching for compromises, however unstable they may be, and innovating to achieve a renewed meaning for "common goods" (Cornu *et al.*, 2017) – is this not the very purpose of sustainability research and sustainability management?

References

- Aagaard, A., Lüdeke-Freund, F., & Wells, P. (Eds.). (2021). Business models for sustainability transitions – How organisations contribute to societal transformation. Palgrave MacMillan.
- Almandoz, J., & Lee, Y.-T. (2022). Leadership in social enterprises: A paradigm for purpose-led organizations. In A. Vaccaro & T. Ramus (Eds.), *Social innovation and social enterprises* (pp. 83-108). Springer.
- Alvesson, M., & Gabriel, Y. (2013). Beyond formulaic research: In praise of greater diversity in organizational research and publications. Academy of Management Learning and Education, 12, 245-263.
- Banerjee, B. S. (2009). Corporate social responsibility: The good, the bad and the ugly. *Critical Sociology*, *34*(1), 51-79.
- Baumgartner, R. J., & Ebner, D. (2010). Corporate sustainability strategies: Sustainability profiles and maturity levels. Sustainable Development, 18, 76-89. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.447
- Bocken, N. (2021). Sustainable business models. In W. Leal, A. M. Azul, L. Brandli, A. L. Salvia, & T. Wall (Eds.), *Decent work and economic growth* (pp. 963-975). Springer.
- Bocken, N., Short, S. W., Rana, P., & Evans, S. (2014). A literature and practice review to develop sustainable business model archetypes. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 65, 42-56.
- Chevalier, F. (2008). L'utopie est pédagogique. In B. Ramanantsoa (Ed.), L'Art du management – Leadership, performance et développement durable (pp. 225-229). Pearson Education France.
- Chevalier, F. (2013). Le développement durable: entre l'idéal des solidarités et les inévitables contradictions du réel. In J.-M. Peretti (Ed.), *Tous solidaires : Les meilleures pratiques par 91 professionnels* (pp. 319-324). Eyrolles.

See the presentations by Michelle Bergadaà, Michel Kalika and Pierre-Jean Benghozi, at the Business Science Institute seminar on March 30 and 31, 2023 (https:// www.business-science-institute.com/conferences-integrite-academique/).

- Chevalier, F. (2021). Post-Covid et sustainability: l'état d'urgence. In M. Kalika (Ed.) Les impacts durables de la crise sur le management (pp. 249-255). Éditions EMS.
- Clark, W. C., & Munn, R. E. (Eds.). (1986). Sustainable development of the biosphere. Cambridge University Press.
- Cornu, M., Orsi, F., & Rochfeld, J. (Eds.). (2017). *Dictionnaire des biens communs*. Presses Universitaires de France.
- Elsevier & RELX Group. (2020). *The power of data to advance the SDGs: Mapping research for the Sustainable Development Goals.* Elsevier.
- Evans, S., Vladimirova, D., Holgado, M., Van Fossen, K., Yang, M., Silva, E. A., & Barlow, C. Y. (2017). Business model innovation for sustainability: Towards a unified perspective for creation of sustainable business models. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 26(5), 597-608.
- Exponential Roadmap Initiative. (2020). *Exponential Roadmap 2030: Scaling 36 Solutions to Halve Emissions by 2030.* Exponential Roadmap Initiative.
- Gennari, F. (2022). Sustainable business model innovation: From value uncaptured to value opportunities. *SYMPHONYA: Emerging Issues in Management*, 2, 27-46.
- Howard-Grenville, J. (2021). Caring, courage and curiosity: Reflections on our roles as scholars in organizing for a sustainable future. *Organization Theory*, 2(1), 1-16. https://doi. org/10.1177/2631787721991143
- Ioannou, I., & Hawn, O. (2019). Redefining the strategy field in the age of sustainability. In A. McWilliams, D. E. Rupp, D. S. Siegel, G. K. Stahl, & D. A. Waldman (Eds.), Oxford handbook of corporate social responsibility: Psychological and organizational perspectives. Oxford University Press.
- Jain, K., & Tripathi, P. S. (2022). Challenges of sustainability reporting from managerial perspective: A review and future agenda. *Metamorphosis*, 21(2), 140-151.
- Jenkins, H. (2009). A "business opportunity" model of corporate social responsibility for small- and medium-sized enterprises. Business Ethics, the Environment and Responsibility, 18(1), 21-36.
- Kalika, M. (2018). *L'impact de la recherche en management: où en est-on?* [Video] Xerfi Canal. https://theconversation.com/video-limpact-de-la-recherche-en-management-ou-en-est-on-114110
- Kalika, M. (2021). *Les impacts durables de la crise sur le management.* Éditions EMS.
- Leboucher, S. (2023). ESG: ruée sur les données. *Option Finance, 1696,* March 24.

- Levillain, K., Segrestin, B., Hatchuel, A., & Vernac, S. (Eds.). (2020). Entreprises, responsabilités et civilisations. Vers un nouveau cycle du développement durable. Presses des Mines.
- Markman, G. D., & Krause, D. (2016). Theory building surrounding sustainable supply chain management: Assessing what we know, exploring where to go. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 52(2), 3-10.
- Martins, A., Castelo-Branco, M., Novo Melo, P., & Machado, C. (2022). Sustainability in small and medium-sized enterprises: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *Sustainability*, 14(11), Article 6493.
- Osagie, E. R., & Wesselink, R. (2020). Corporate social responsibility manager: Job roles, challenges and individual competencies.
 In W. Leal, A. M. Azul, L. Brandli, A. L. Salvia, & T. Wall (Eds.), Decent work and economic growth (pp. 1-13). Springer.
- Ostrom, E. (2009). A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social-ecological systems. *Science*, *325*(5939), 419-422.
- Pichault, F., Castro, J. L., & Chevalier, F. (2022). *Towards a polyphonic approach to change management.* Cambridge Scholars Publishing Limited.
- Pieroni, M. P. P., McAloone, T. C., & Pigosso, D. C. A. (2019). Business model innovation for circular economy and sustainability: A review of approaches. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 215, 198-216.
- Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F. S., III, Lambin, E., Lenton, T. M., Scheffer, M., Folke, C., Schellnhuber, H., Nykvist, B., De Wit, C. A., Hughes, T., van der Leeuw, S., Rodhe, H., Sörlin, S., Snyder, P. K., Costanza, R., Svedin, U., ... Foley, J. (2009). Planetary boundaries: Exploring the safe operating space for humanity. *Ecology and Society*, 14(2), Article 32.
- Shrivastava, P., & Hart, S. (1995). Creating sustainable corporations. Business Strategy and the Environment, 4(3), 154–165.
- Stahl, G., Brewster, C., Collings, D., & Hajro, A. (2020). Enhancing the role of human resource management in corporate sustainability and social responsibility: A multi-stakeholder, multidimensional approach to HRM. *Human Resource Management Review*, 30(3), Article 100708.
- Starik, M., & Rands, G. P. (1995) Weaving an integrated web: Multilevel and multisystem perspective of ecologically sustainable organizations. Academy Management Review, 20(4), 908-935.
- Wittmayer, J. M., & Schäpke, N. (2014). Action, research and participation: Roles of researchers in sustainability transitions. *Sustainability Science*, 9, 483-496.
- World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). *Our common future.* Oxford University Press.